

Enantioselective 1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition of Azomethine Imines with Propioloylpyrazoles Induced by Chiral π -Cation Catalysts

Masahiro Hori,[†] Akira Sakakura,^{*,‡} and Kazuaki Ishihara^{*,†,§}

[†]Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa, Nagoya 464-8603, Japan

[‡]Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Okayama University, 3-1-1 Tsushima-naka, Kita-ku, Okayama 700-8530, Japan [§]Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), CREST, Furo-cho, Chikusa, Nagoya 464-8603, Japan

(5) Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: We developed 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions of azomethine imines with propioloylpyrazoles catalyzed by a chiral copper(II) complex of 3-(2-naphthyl)-L-alanine amide. The asymmetric environment created by intramolecular π -cation interaction and the *N*-alkyl group of the chiral ligand gives the corresponding adducts in high yields with excellent enantioselectivity. This is the first successful method for the catalytic enantioselective 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of azomethine imines with internal alkyne derivatives to give fully substituted pyrazolines.

N itrogen-containing five-membered heterocycles are core structures that are found in many bioactive compounds. For example, it has been reported that multisubstituted pyrazolines show various useful bioactivities.¹ Based on their biological significance and potential as chiral building blocks, the development of methods for the synthesis of these heterocycles is an important issue.² For the synthesis of chiral pyrazolines, asymmetric 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of azomethine imines with alkynes is one of the most powerful methods.³

There are two methodologies for the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of azomethine imines with alkynes (Scheme 1): (1) copper(I) acetylide-mediated cycloaddition⁴ and (2) Lewis acid-catalyzed cycloaddition. In 2003, Fu reported the first asymmetric CuI-catalyzed cycloaddition of azomethine imines with terminal alkynes (method 1).^{4a} Recently, Arai,^{4c,f} Kobayashi,^{4d} and Maruoka^{4e} also reported Cu(I) acetylidemediated enantioselective cycloaddition of azomethine imines. Although these methods induce high enantioselectivity, dipolarophiles are limited to terminal alkynes. In contrast,

Scheme 1. 1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition of Azomethine Imines with Alkynes





Figure 1. Chiral π -cation catalysts 1·CuX₂.



Figure 2. Proposed *exo-* and *endo-*transition-state assemblies for the reaction of 2a with 3a.

Lewis acid-catalyzed cycloaddition (method 2) could be applied to not only terminal alkynes but also to internal alkynes, in principal, so this method may be useful for the synthesis of fully substituted pyrazolines. However, there have been no reports on the asymmetric Lewis acid-catalyzed cycloaddition of azomethine imines with internal alkynes.

We previously reported that chiral π -cation catalyst **1b**·CuX₂ (Figure 1) effectively promoted enantioselective cycloadditions with propioloylpyrazoles 3, such as the Diels-Alder reaction, [2 + 2] cycloaddition and the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of nitrones.⁵⁻⁷ These cycloaddition reactions are

Received: August 18, 2014 Published: September 8, 2014



Table 1. 1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition of 2a with 3a Catalyzed by $1 \cdot Cu(NTf_2)_2^a$



^{*a*}Reaction of **2a** (1.1 equiv) with **3a** (0.1 mmol) was conducted in the presence of **1**·Cu(NTf₂)₂ (30 mol %) and MS 4A (100 mg) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.2 mL). ^{*b*}Reaction was conducted with **3a** (0.3 mmol) in the presence of **1c**·Cu(NTf₂)₂ (10 mol %). ^{*c*}Reaction was conducted with **3a** (0.6 mmol) in the presence of **1c**·Cu(NTf₂)₂ (2.5 mol %) in CH₃Cl₁ (7.5 mL).

Table 2. $1c \cdot Cu(NTf_2)_2$ -Catalyzed 1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition of 2 with $3a^a$



^{*a*}Reaction of **2** (1.1 equiv) with **3a** (0.3 mmol) was conducted in the presence of $1c \cdot Cu(NTf_2)_2$ (10 mol %) and MS 4A (100 mg) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.2 mL). ^{*b*}Reaction of **2c** (1.1 equiv) with **3a** (3 mmol) was conducted in the presence of $1c \cdot Cu(NTf_2)_2$ (10 mol %) and MS 4A (0.5 g) in CH₂Cl₂ (12 mL).

highly useful for the enantioselective synthesis of bicyclic 2,5diene ligands, β -lactams, and so on. We report here a significant expansion of the scope of the enantioselective cycloadditions with alkynes: the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of azomethine imines **2** with propioloylpyrazoles **3**. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first enantioselective 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of azomethine imines with internal alkynes.

In an initial investigation, we performed the enantioselective 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of azomethine imine **2a** with propioloylpyrazole **3a** in CH₂Cl₂ at -40 °C in the presence of MS 4A.⁸ However, the reaction required a long reaction time and showed unsatisfactory enantioselectivity, even when 30 mol % of **1b**·Cu(NTf₂)₂ was used as a catalyst (Table 1, entry 2). The absolute configuration of the major enantiomer of cycloadduct **4a** was determined to be *R*.⁹ Based on the absolute configuration of **4a** and the previous results, the

Table 3.	lc•Cu(NTf ₂) ₂ .	-Catalyzed	1,3-Dipolar	Cycloaddition
of 2 with	β -Substituted	Propiolar	nide 3 ^a	

$\begin{array}{c} \stackrel{+}{}_{N}{}_{N}{}_{N} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ 2 \end{array} \xrightarrow{R^{2}} 3 \end{array} \xrightarrow{N} \begin{array}{c} 1 \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{Cu}(\mathrm{NTf}_{2})_{2} \\ (10 \text{ mol}\%) \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$								
entry	2	3 (R ²)	time (h)	yield (%)	ee (%)			
1	2a	3b (ClCH ₂)	88	5, 89	94			
2	2a	3c (MeOCH ₂)	300	6, 83	94			
3^b	2d	3c (MeOCH ₂)	144	7, 79	87			
4	2a	3d (MsOCH ₂)	74	8, 92	92			
5 ^c	2a	3d (MsOCH ₂)	48	8, 85	92			
6	2d	3d (MsOCH ₂)	72	9 , 99	87			
7	2f	3d (MsOCH ₂)	48	10, 94	93			
8	2i	3d (MsOCH ₂)	24	11, 95	91			
9	2d	3e (BzOCH ₂)	120	12, 98	86			
10	2a	$3f[(CH_2O)_2CH]$	48	13, 98	85			
11^d	2a	3g (PhthalNCH ₂)	168	14, 70	80			

^{*a*}Reaction of **2** (1.1 equiv) with **3** (0.3 mmol) was conducted in the presence of $1c \cdot Cu(NTf_2)_2$ (10 mol %) and MS 4A (100 mg) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.2 mL). ^{*b*}Reaction was conducted in the presence of $1c \cdot Cu(NTf_2)_2$ (20 mol %). ^{*c*}The reaction of **2a** (1.1 equiv) with **3d** (3 mmol) was conducted in the presence of $1c \cdot Cu(NTf_2)_2$ (10 mol %) and MS 4A (0.5 g) in CH₂Cl₂ (12 mL). ^{*d*}Reaction was conducted at -20 °C.

following transition-state assembly was proposed for the present 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition (Figure 2). An efficient asymmetric environment was created around the Cu(II) cation: a 2-naphthyl group in **1b** shielded the carbonyl *Re* face of coordinated **3a** through the intramolecular π -cation interaction that was supported by theoretical calculations.^{Sb,e,10,11} Azomethine imine **2a** would approach the *Si* face of the coordinated **3a** via the *endo*-TS (Figure 2B, n = 1), thus avoiding steric repulsion between the *N*-cyclopentyl group of **1b** and the phenyl group of **2a** in *exo*-TS (Figure 2A) to give (*R*)-**4a** as a major enantiomer. The steric interaction between the *N*-cyclopentyl group of **2a** may have decreased the reactivity.

Therefore, we next investigated the N-alkyl group of ligand 1 to improve the reactivity and enantioselectivity. When the reaction was conducted with 1a bearing an N-cyclohexyl group, cycloadduct 4a was obtained with poor enantioselectivity (entry 1). On the other hand, the use of N-cyclobutyl and Ncyclopropyl groups (ligands 1c and 1d) successfully improved both the reactivity and enantioselectivity (entries 3 and 5). Especially, the catalytic activity of $1c \cdot Cu(NTf_2)_2$ was high enough to promote the reaction with a catalyst loading of 10 mol % (entry 4). Only 2.5 mol % of $1c \cdot Cu(NTf_2)_2$ successfully promoted the reaction without any decrease of enantioselectivity, albeit the reaction required rather long reaction time (entry 5). The use of sterically less-hindered N-cycloalkyl groups would successfully avoid steric repulsion with the ethylene group of 2a (Figure 2B, n = 0). The N-isopropyl group of 1e has steric hindrance similar to the N-cyclohexyl group of 1a, which may be the reason why 1e showed low enantioselectivity (entry 7). Ligand 1f bearing N-methyl group also showed poor enantioselectivity (entry 8), probably because steric repulsion between the N-methyl group and the phenyl group of 2a in exo-TS was very weak, and the reaction proceeded via both exo- and endo-TS.



With the optimized π -cation catalyst in hand, we next examined the reactions of various azomethine imines to explore the generality and substrate scope of the present 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition (Table 2). The reactions were conducted in the presence of $\mathbf{1c} \cdot \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{NTf}_2)_2$ (10 mol %) at -40 °C to give the corresponding cycloadducts with high enantioselectivities. The reaction tolerated both electron-donating and -withdrawing groups on the phenyl, heteroaryl, and alkenyl groups (entries 1, 2 and 4–9). The large-scale reaction of $\mathbf{2c}$ with $\mathbf{3a}$ (3 mmol) also gave $\mathbf{4c}$ in 89% yield with 93% ee (entry 3).

The present cycloaddition was also effective for β -substituted propioloylpyrazoles 3. The reaction of 2 with 3b-g proceeded to give the corresponding fully substituted cycloadducts 5–14 in good to high yields with high enantioselectivity (Table 3). A large-scale reaction with 3d (3 mmol) also gave 7 in 85% yield with 92% ee (entry 5).

The 1,3-dipolar cycloadducts 4-14 are useful compounds for the synthesis of various nitrogen-containing chiral compounds. For example, methanolysis of **6** gave the corresponding dimethyl ester **15** in 86% yield (Scheme 2). Raney Ni-catalyzed hydrogenation¹² of **15** gave the corresponding chiral 1,3Communication

diamine 16 as a single diastereomer, which could be isolated as cyclic urea 17 after the reaction with triphosgene¹³ (82% yield, 2 steps). The stereochemistry of three contiguous stereogenic centers of 18 was determined to be (4R,5S,6S) by ¹H NMR analysis. Cycloadduct 12 was also converted to the corresponding cyclic urea 18 (70% yield, 4 steps). Since the benzoyl group of 12 was removed by the methanolysis, the primary hydroxy group was protected by TBDPS group. Since the silyloxy and the urea groups of 18 were unstable under Ru-catalyzed oxidation, they were protected by acetyl group (71% yield, 2 steps). Oxidation of 4-methoxyphenyl group of 19, followed by methyl esterification, gave the corresponding trimethyl ester 20 (77% yield, 2 steps). Since methoxycarbonyl and protected hydroxymethyl groups can be converted to various functional groups, cyclic ureas 17 and 20 should be useful building block.

Mesyloxymethyl group of **8** could be used for a carbon chain elongation. For example, nucleophilic substitution¹⁴ of **8** with allyl acetoacetate and allyl methyl malonate, followed by decarboxylation,¹⁵ gave methyl ketone **21** and methyl ester **22** in respective yields of 78 and 66%. In addition, the reduction of the mesyloxymethyl group of **8** gave **23** with the methyl group (89% yield).

In conclusion, we have developed a catalytic enantioselective 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction of azomethine imines with propioloylpyrazoles using chiral π -cation catalysts. The reaction with β -substituted propioloylpyrazoles gave fully substituted pyrazolines in high yields with high enantioselectivities. The cycloadducts could be converted to 1,3-diamines with three contiguous stereogenic centers.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Detailed experimental procedures and full characterization of new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

ishihara@cc.nagoya-u.ac.jp sakakura@okayama-u.ac.jp

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support for this project was provided by JSPS.KA-KENHI (23350039) and Program for Leading Graduate Schools "IGER program in Green Natural Sciences", MEXT, Japan.

REFERENCES

(1) For selected examples, see: (a) Jungheim, L. N.; Sigmund, S. K.; Fisher, J. W. Tetrahedron Lett. **1987**, 28, 285. (b) Boyd, D. B. J. Med. Chem. **1993**, 36, 1443. (c) Hanessian, S.; McNaughton-Smith, G.; Lombart, H.-G.; Lubell, W. D. Tetrahedron **1997**, 53, 12789. (d) Amr, A. E. -G. E.; Abdel-Latif, N. A.; Abdalla, M. M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. **2006**, 14, 373. (e) Amr, A. E.-G. E.; Mohamed, A. M.; Mohamed, S. F.; Abdel-Hafez, N. A.; Hammam, A. E.-F. G. Bioorg. Med. Chem. **2006**, 14, 5481. (f) Rostom, S. A. F. Bioorg. Med. Chem. **2006**, 14, 6475. (g) Sayyafi, M.; Soorki, A. A.; Bazgir, A. Chem. Pharm. Bull. **2008**, 56, 1289. (h) Meyers, M. J.; Arhancet, G. B.; Hockerman, S. L.; Chen, X.; Long, S. A.; Mahoney, M. W.; Rico, J. R.; Garland, D. J.; Blinn, J. R.; Collines, J. T.; Yang, S.; Huang, H.-C.; McGee, K. F.; Wendling, J. M.; Dietz, J. D.; Payne, M. A.; Homer, B. L.; Heron, M. I.; Reitz, D. B.; Hu,

Journal of the American Chemical Society

X. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 5979. (i) Lee, M.; Brockway, O.; Dandavati, A.; Tzou, S.; Sjoholm, R.; Satam, V.; Westbrook, C.; Mooberry, S. L.; Zeller, M.; Babu, B.; Lee, M. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2011, 46, 3099. (j) Lam, K. W.; Tham, C. L.; Liew, C. Y.; Syahida, A.; Rahman, M. B. A.; Israf, D. A.; Lajis, N. H. Med. Chem. Res. 2012, 21, 333. (k) Lam, K. W.; Tham, C. L.; Liew, C. Y.; Syahida, A.; Rahman, M. B. A.; Israf, D. A.; Lajis, N. H. Med. Chem. Res. 2012, 21, 333.

(2) For selected examples of asymmetric pyrazolines syntheses, see:
(a) Mahé, O.; Dez, I.; Levacher, V.; Brière, J.-F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2010**, 49, 7072. (b) Suga, H.; Furihata, Y.; Sakamoto, A.; Itoh, K.; Okumura, Y.; Tsuchida, T.; Kakehi, A.; Baba, T. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 7377. (c) Campbell, N. R.; Sun, B.; Singh, R. P.; Deng, L. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 3123. (d) Chen, J.-R.; Dong, W.-R.; Candy, M.; Pan, F.-F.; Jörres, M.; Bolm, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 6924.
(e) Zhang, Z.; Wang, D.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 9607. (f) Fernández, M.; Reyes, E.; Vicario, J. L.; Badía, D.; Carrillo, L. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 371. (g) Wang, G.; Liu, X.; Huang, T.; Kuang, Y.; Lin, L.; Feng, X. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 76. (h) Tripathi, C. B.; Mukherjee, S. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3368.

(3) For reviews of asymmetric 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions, see:
(a) Gothelf, K. V.; Jørgensen, K. A. Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 863.
(b) Pellissier, H. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 3235. (c) Stanley, L. M.; Sibi, M. P. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 2887.

(4) (a) Shintani, R.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 10778.
(b) Suárez, A.; Downey, C. W.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 11244. (c) Arai, T.; Ogino, Y. Molecules 2012, 17, 6170. (d) Imaizumi, T.; Yamashita, Y.; Kobayashi, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 20049.
(e) Hashimoto, T.; Takiguchi, Y.; Maruoka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 11473. (f) Arai, T.; Ogino, Y.; Sato, T. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 7776.

(5) (a) Ishihara, K.; Fushimi, M. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1921. (b) Ishihara, K.; Fushimi, M.; Akakura, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 1049.
(c) Ishihara, K.; Fushimi, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 7532.
(d) Sakakura, A.; Hori, M.; Fushimi, M.; Ishihara, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 15550. (e) Sakakura, A.; Ishihara, K. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 163.

(6) For a recent review of π -cation interaction, see: Mahadevi, A. S.; Sastry, G. N. *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 2100.

(7) For our contributions to *n*-cation catalysis, see: Sakakura, A.; Kondo, R.; Matsumura, Y.; Akakura, M.; Ishihara, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 17762.

(8) MS 4A activated $1 \cdot Cu(NTf_2)_2$ and inhibited the hydrolysis of 3. (9) See Supporting Information for details.

(10) The intramolecular π -cation interaction was observed in the crystal structure of bis(L-tyrosinato)copper(II) complex. (a) Nascimento, O. R.; Costa-Filho, A. J.; DeMorais, D. I.; Ellena, J.; Delboni, L. F. *Inorg. Chem. Acta* **2001**, *312*, 133. (b) Costa-Filho, A. J.; Nascimento, O. R.; Calvo, R. J. Phys. Chem. B **2004**, *108*, 9549.

(11) The spectral evidence for the π -cation interaction between Cu²⁺ and tryptophan residue in peptides in aqueous solution was reported. Yorita, H.; Otomo, K.; Hiramatsu, H.; Toyama, A.; Miura, T.; Takeuchi, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 15266.

(12) Koptelov, Y. B.; Saik, S. P. Russ. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 42, 1501.
(13) Hernández-Rodríguez, M.; Melgar-Fernández, R.; Juaristi, E. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 2005, 18, 792.

(14) (a) Takimoto, M.; Kawamura, M.; Mori, M.; Sato, Y. Synlett 2011, 1423. (b) Prashar, D.; Shi, Y.; Bandyopadhyay, D.; Dabrowiak, J. C.; Luk, Y.-Y. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2011, 21, 7421. (c) Teller, H.; Corbet, M.; Mantilli, L.; Gopakumar, G.; Goddard, R.; Thiel, W.; Fürstner, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 15331.

(15) (a) Casy, G.; Taylor, R. J. K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 454. (b) Zhang, H.; Reddy, M. S.; Phoenix, S.; Deslongchamps, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1272.